District Governing Board Policy Review Evaluation of Board Policies Policy 3.3 Board Member Code of Conduct and Ethics

Compilation - February 2016 REVISED 2/8/16

3.3 Board Member	The Board expects of itself, as a whole and of its i	individual members,	ethical and
Code of Conduct and	professional conduct. This commitment includes p		
Ethics	decorum in group and individual behavior when a	cting as Board memb	oers.
Interpretation			
Is the interpretation reas	onable?	YES	NO
·		5	
Does the data show acco	mplishment of the interpretation?	YES	NO
To bloom of CC down order	to the trade of th	5	NO
Process policy?	ce to indicate compliance with this Governance	YES 3	NO
Is this policy still relevan	t or useful to the Board?	YES	NO
13 this policy still relevant	to discitlife board.	3	110
will be true for all other	data to support my conclusions is based on my er Board members. Whether we as individuals to others. The policies are in place and we shalege.	adhere to these po	licies will not
3.3.1 Discipline	The Board shall enforce upon itself whatever disci	pline is needed to ac	vern with
Needed to Govern	excellence. Discipline will apply to matters such as		
	roles, speaking with one voice, and adherence to	ethical practices.	
Interpretation			
Is the interpretation reas	onable?	YES 5	NO
Does the data show acco	mplishment of the interpretation?	YES 5	NO
Is there sufficient evident Process policy?	ce to indicate compliance with this Governance	YES 3	NO
Is this policy still relevant	t or useful to the Board?	YES 3	NO
occasionally outside of courteous and appropri one voiceit is importa	Board members interact with each other only a fithose meetings. Our interactions with each oriate. Not sure if all Board members understar ant that we all publicly support the decisions we wote against a particular item on the agenda	other at the meeting of ween make as a Board	gs are generally speaking with
individually disagree o			
3.3.2 Conflict of Interest	Board members must avoid any situation that ma appearance of a conflict of interest with respect to College's ownership. Any question as to whether a shall be referred to legal counsel for the College.	their fiduciary resp	onsibility to the
3.3.2 Conflict of Interest	appearance of a conflict of interest with respect to College's ownership. Any question as to whether a	their fiduciary resp	onsibility to the
3.3.2 Conflict of	appearance of a conflict of interest with respect to College's ownership. Any question as to whether a shall be referred to legal counsel for the College.	their fiduciary response potential conflict of	onsibility to the
3.3.2 Conflict of Interest Interpretation Is the interpretation reas	appearance of a conflict of interest with respect to College's ownership. Any question as to whether a shall be referred to legal counsel for the College.	o their fiduciary response potential conflict of	onsibility to the interest exists

Is this policy still relevant	or useful to the Board?	YES 3	NO	
Comments: McCarver: We have a policy and procedure for reporting potential and actual conflicts of interest. This is not used often, but is available for every meeting.				
3.3.2.1 Self-Dealing	There must be no self-dealing or any conduct of p between any Board member(s) and the organizati openness, competitive opportunity, and equal acc	on, except as allowe		
Interpretation				
Is the interpretation reason		YES 4	NO	
Does the data show accor	mplishment of the interpretation?	YES 4	NO	
Is there sufficient evidence Process policy?	ce to indicate compliance with this Governance	YES 3	NO	
Is this policy still relevant	or useful to the Board?	YES 3	NO	
Comments: McCarver: We rely on t I am aware of.	he President to report any concerns in this are	ea. There are not a	ny concerns that	
3.3.2.2 Employment	Board members must not use their positions to obtain themselves, family members, or close associates.		the College for	
Interpretation				
Is the interpretation reason	onable?	YES 5	NO	
Does the data show accomplishment of the interpretation?		YES 4	NO	
Is there sufficient evidence to indicate compliance with this Governance Process policy?		YES 3	NO	
Is this policy still relevant or useful to the Board?		YES 3	NO	
Comments:				
3.3.2.3 Acceptance of Employment Should a Board member or the Board member's spouse be considered for employment by the College, the Board member must withdraw from deliberation and voting on any matter that pertains to such employment consideration and shall have no access to applicable Board information. If a Board member or the Board member's spouse accepts employment from the College, the Board member must resign his/her Board position in accordance with Arizona statute.				
Interpretation				
Is the interpretation reason	onable?	YES 5	NO	
Does the data show accor	mplishment of the interpretation?	YES 5	NO	
Process policy?	ce to indicate compliance with this Governance	YES 3	NO	
Is this policy still relevant or useful to the Board? YES NO 3				

Comments:			
	know we are in compliance here.		
	•		
3.3.2.4 Personal Gain	Board members will refrain from using their Board	d position for persona	al or partisan gain,
	will take no private or individual action that will co	ompromise the Board	d or administration,
	and will respect the confidentiality of information	that is privileged from	m disclosure under
	applicable law.		
Interpretation			
Is the interpretation reas	sonable?	YES	NO
15 the interpretation real	sonable.	5	110
Does the data show acco	omplishment of the interpretation?	YES	NO
		5	
Is there sufficient evider	nce to indicate compliance with this Governance	YES	NO
Process policy?	·	3	
Is this policy still relevan	nt or useful to the Board?	YES	NO
		3	
Comments:			
McCarver: As far as I	know we are in compliance here.		
2 2 2 5 Vatina	N/lean a markhau undan aanaidamkian miahkimuslus		
3.3.2.5 Voting	When a matter under consideration might involve interest for a Board member, that member shall of		
	discussion on the issue and will not vote on, parti		
	attempt to influence votes on any matter related		egarding, or
Interpretation	accempt to influence votes on any matter related	to the commen	
Is the interpretation reas	sonable?	YES	NO
		5	
Does the data show acco	omplishment of the interpretation?	YES	NO
		5	
	nce to indicate compliance with this Governance	YES	NO
Process policy?		3	
Is this policy still relevar	nt or useful to the Board?	YES	NO
_		3	
Comments:			
3.3.2.6 Conflict of	Each Board member shall complete and submit a	Conflict of Interest for	orm in a format
Interest Agreement	determined by the Board, at least one time each		
merest Agreement	submission by the Board member of information		
	interest or shall affirm that no such potential conf		
Interpretation		,	
Is the interpretation reas	sonable?	YES	NO
		4	
Does the data show acco	omplishment of the interpretation?	YES	NO
		4	
	nce to indicate compliance with this Governance	YES	NO
Process policy?		3	
Is this policy still relevan	nt or useful to the Board?	YES	NO
		. ~	

Co	m	m	Δ	n	te	
LU			c	••	LS	

McCarver: This will be done at the February meeting--it was held over from the January meeting because 2 Board members were not able to attend the full meeting.

3.3.3 Individual	Board members may not attempt to exercise individual authority over the organization		
Authority	except as explicitly set forth in Board policies:		
Interpretation			
Is the interpretation reasonable?		YES	NO
		5	
Does the data show accomplishment of the interpretation?		YES	NO
		5	
Is there sufficient evidence to indicate compliance with this Governance		YES	NO
Process policy?		3	
Is this policy still relevant or useful to the Board? YES		YES	NO
3			

Comments:

McCarver: As far as I know we are in compliance here.

3.3.3.1 Interaction with President or Staff

Board members' interaction with the President or with staff must recognize and actively communicate that authority rests only with the Board in a legally constituted meeting, and not with any individual Board member or group of Board members except as noted in these governance policies.

Interpretation

Is the interpretation reasonable?	YES	NO
	4	
Does the data show accomplishment of the interpretation?	YES	NO
	4	
Is there sufficient evidence to indicate compliance with this Governance	YES	NO
Process policy?	3	
Is this policy still relevant or useful to the Board?	YES	NO
	3	

Comments:

McCarver: As far as I know we are in compliance here.

3.3.3.2 Interaction with
Public, Press, or other
Entities

Board members' interaction with the public, press, or other entities must recognize the same limitation and the similar inability of any Board member or Board members to speak for the Board.

Interpretation

Is the interpretation reasonable?	YES	NO
·	4	
Does the data show accomplishment of the interpretation?	YES	NO
	4	
Is there sufficient evidence to indicate compliance with this Governance	YES	NO
Process policy?	3	
Is this policy still relevant or useful to the Board?	YES	NO
	3	

Comment			
Comments: McCarver: The Board S activities.	pokesperson is the authorized contact with the	e press on Board d	lecisions and
3.3.3.3 Judgments of President Performance	Board members will make no formal evaluations of compliance with the official evaluation process, an assessed only against explicit Board policies.		
Interpretation			
Is the interpretation reason	onable?	YES 5	NO
Does the data show accor	mplishment of the interpretation?	YES 5	NO
Is there sufficient evidence Process policy?	ce to indicate compliance with this Governance	YES 4	NO
Is this policy still relevant	or useful to the Board?	YES 4	NO
Comments:			
3.3.3.4 Board Operates	Board members will exercise authority over the or	ganization only as th	nev operate with
with One Voice	Board members will exercise authority over the or one voice as a whole in Board meetings. Individua uphold the final majority decisions of the Board.		
	one voice as a whole in Board meetings. Individua		
with One Voice	one voice as a whole in Board meetings. Individual uphold the final majority decisions of the Board.		
Interpretation Is the interpretation reason	one voice as a whole in Board meetings. Individual uphold the final majority decisions of the Board.	YES 5 YES 5	Il abide by and
with One Voice Interpretation Is the interpretation reason Does the data show according to the data	one voice as a whole in Board meetings. Individual uphold the final majority decisions of the Board. onable?	YES YES YES	II abide by and
with One Voice Interpretation Is the interpretation reason Does the data show accord Is there sufficient evidence Process policy?	one voice as a whole in Board meetings. Individual uphold the final majority decisions of the Board. onable? mplishment of the interpretation? ce to indicate compliance with this Governance	YES 5 YES 5 YES 5 YES	NO NO
with One Voice Interpretation Is the interpretation reason Does the data show accord Is there sufficient evidence Process policy?	one voice as a whole in Board meetings. Individual uphold the final majority decisions of the Board. onable? mplishment of the interpretation? ce to indicate compliance with this Governance	YES 5 YES 5 YES 4 YES	NO NO NO
with One Voice Interpretation Is the interpretation reason Does the data show accord Is there sufficient evidence Process policy? Is this policy still relevant	one voice as a whole in Board meetings. Individual uphold the final majority decisions of the Board. onable? mplishment of the interpretation? ce to indicate compliance with this Governance	YES 5 YES 5 YES 4 YES	NO NO NO
with One Voice Interpretation Is the interpretation reason Does the data show accord Is there sufficient evidence Process policy? Is this policy still relevant Comments:	one voice as a whole in Board meetings. Individual uphold the final majority decisions of the Board. onable? mplishment of the interpretation? ce to indicate compliance with this Governance	YES 5 YES 5 YES 4 YES	NO NO NO
with One Voice Interpretation Is the interpretation reason Does the data show accord Is there sufficient evidence Process policy? Is this policy still relevant	one voice as a whole in Board meetings. Individual uphold the final majority decisions of the Board. onable? mplishment of the interpretation? ce to indicate compliance with this Governance	YES 5 YES 5 YES 4 YES 4 YES 4 YES 6	NO NO NO NO atricts, they will an individual
Interpretation Is the interpretation reason Does the data show according the sufficient evidence Process policy? Is this policy still relevant Comments: 3.3.4 Understanding	one voice as a whole in Board meetings. Individual uphold the final majority decisions of the Board. onable? mplishment of the interpretation? ce to indicate compliance with this Governance or useful to the Board? Although Board members are elected by individual seek to represent the ownership as a whole rather district. Therefore, Board discussions will generally	YES 5 YES 5 YES 4 YES 4 YES 4 YES 6	NO NO NO NO atricts, they will an individual
Interpretation Is the interpretation reason Does the data show accord Is there sufficient evidence Process policy? Is this policy still relevant Comments: 3.3.4 Understanding the College as a Whole	one voice as a whole in Board meetings. Individual uphold the final majority decisions of the Board. onable? mplishment of the interpretation? the to indicate compliance with this Governance or useful to the Board? Although Board members are elected by individual seek to represent the ownership as a whole rather district. Therefore, Board discussions will generally Yavapai College District.	YES 5 YES 5 YES 4 YES 4 YES 4 YES 6	NO NO NO NO atricts, they will an individual

Is there sufficient evidence to indicate compliance with this Governance Process policy?

Is this policy still relevant or useful to the Board?

NO

NO

YES

4 YES

3.3.4.1 Contact for Complaints	Board members will work carefully with the public to ensure use of standard College procedures for handling community complaints or grievances. When individual Board members receive complaints from members of their constituency, the following process shall be followed:			
	The Board member will encourage the individual(s) to contact an appropriate College staff member, and the Board member will also contact the President. The President will let the Board member know when the College processes have been followed and the complaint has been addressed.			
	The Yavapai College District Governing Board supports the faculty and employee grievance policy, and recognizes that this process was derived through a joint deliberative process resulting in a rational approach to resolving an employee's grievance. The Yavapai College District Governing Board encourages employees to use this process, which may ultimately lead to a direct appeal to the District Governing Board. The Board also recognizes that extreme circumstances may require an employee to seek a direct hearing before the Board. The Board will decide if circumstances warrant a direct appeal or the employee will be referred back to the administrative grievance policy.			
Interpretation				
Is the interpretation reas	onable?	YES 4	NO	
Does the data show accomplishment of the interpretation?		YES 3	NO	
Is there sufficient evidence to indicate compliance with this Governance Process policy?		YES 3	NO	
Is this policy still relevant or useful to the Board? YES NO		NO		
Comments:				

Comments:

SHADED ITEMS should be raised for discussion at the meeting.